



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2018

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2018 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
6	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index

Organisational Overview							Principle Genera				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
OO TG		-	n/a								
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓	
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓	
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓	
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓	
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓	
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓	
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓	
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓	
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓	
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓	
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓	
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓	
OO LE 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓	
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓	
OO FI 01	Breakdown by passive,active strategies	✓	Private							✓	
OO FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	8	n/a							✓	
OO FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Private							✓	
OO SAM 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	✓	Private							✓	
OO PE 01	Breakdown of investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓	
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership	8	n/a							✓	
OO PR 01	Breakdown of investments	8	n/a							✓	
OO PR 02	Breakdown of assets by management	8	n/a							✓	
OO PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓	
OO INF 01	Breakdown of investments	8	n/a							✓	
OO INF 02	Breakdown of assets by management	8	n/a							✓	
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure	8	n/a							✓	
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

CCStrategy and Governance						rin	cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	-	n/a							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	8	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public						✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect -	Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring					rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a		✓					
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	~						
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	~						
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	~						
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	8	n/a		✓					
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	~						
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation							Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓							
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	-	n/a	~							
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	-	n/a	✓							
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓							
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	~							
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	-	n/a	~							
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	~							
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	8	n/a	✓							
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	8	n/a	~							
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	8	n/a	✓							
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	8	n/a	✓							
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	~							
LEI 13	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	-	n/a	~							
LEI 14	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	~							
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership					Р	rin	cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 07	Role in engagement process	8	n/a		✓		✓			
LEA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	8	n/a		✓				✓	
LEA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 10	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 12	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 13	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 14	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 15	Voting policy & approach	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 16	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 18	Confirmation of votes	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 19	Securities lending programme	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 20	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 21	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 22	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 23	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 24	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct -	Direct - Fixed Income							le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Negative screening - overview and rationale	✓	Public	✓						
FI 06	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	-	n/a	✓						
FI 07	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - overview	8	n/a	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	8	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Thematic investing - assessing impact	8	n/a	✓						
FI 11	Integration overview	8	n/a	✓						
FI 12	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	8	n/a	✓						
FI 13	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	8	n/a	✓						
FI 14	ESG incorporation in passive funds	8	n/a	✓						
FI 15	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 16	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 17	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 18	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 19	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement		n/a	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Assurance						rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01.1	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.2 & 01.8	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							
CM1 01.3 & 01.9	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01.4, 10- 12	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							√
CM1 01.5	External assurance	8	n/a							✓
CM1 01.6	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓
CM1 01.7	Internal verification	8	n/a							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Basic information Mandatory Public General **Gateway/Peering** 00 01.1 Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide. \bigcirc Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan O Insurance company Foundation O Endowment O Development finance institution O Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund O Family office \bigcirc Other, specify

00 0)2	Man	datory	Public	Peering	General
	00 02	.1	Select the location of your organisa	tion's headquarters	i.	
	Iceland	d				
	00 02	.2	Indicate the number of countries in	which you have off	ces (including your headquarters	s).
	• 1					
	O 2-5					
	O 6-10	0				
	○ >10)				
	OO 02	.3	Indicate the approximate number of	staff in your organ	isation in full-time equivalents (F	TE).
			FTE			
	31					
00 0)3	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	General
	00 03	.1	Indicate whether you have subsidia their own right.	ries within your org	anisation that are also PRI signa	tories in



○ Yes● No

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2017

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, Exclude subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on and any advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		3	000	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		3	000	000	000

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

• as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10.1	25.8
Fixed income	56.4	1.9
Private equity	0	5.8
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0



Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

O as broad ranges

OO 06.2

Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Asse	t class	s implementation gateway indic	cators		
10	Man	datory	Public	Gateway	General
00 10	0.1	Select the active ownership activiti	es your organi	isation implemented in the r	eporting year.
		Listed equity – engagement			
	Ve requ	age with companies on ESG factors uire our external managers to engaginot engage directly and do not requir	e with compan	nies on ESG factors on our b	pehalf.
		Listed equity – voting			
☑ \	Ve cast	t our (proxy) votes directly or via ded	icated voting p	oroviders	
	Ve requ	uire our external managers to vote or	n our behalf.		
	Ve do r	not cast our (proxy) votes directly and	d do not requir	re external managers to vote	e on our behalf
		Fixed income SSA – engagem	ent		
	∃We e	ngage with companies on ESG facto	ors via our staff	f, collaborations or service p	providers.
[□ We re	equire our external managers to eng	age with comp	panies on ESG factors on ou	ır behalf.
		o not engage directly and do not req Please explain why you do not.	uire external n	nanagers to engage with co	mpanies on ESG

Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

The SSA instruments we invest in are mainly government and municipal bonds. Although we do not actively engage the issuers on ESG matters (namely, the Icelandic government), we fully expect them to address these issues and by mindful of them.



Fixed income Corporate	(non-financial) – engagement

☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.

 \square We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (securitised) - engagement

☐ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

The Icelandic covered bond market is fairly small, with only three issuers, namely the three Icelandic commercial banks. We feel that this is an important asset class for us to be invested in, and we do not actively engage them on ESG issues, but as before, we fully expect the issuers to be mindful of them and would take actions if their practices were in violations of them.

OO 11 Mandatory Public Gateway General

00 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - SSA

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - securitised

- We address ESG incorporation.
- O We do not do ESG incorporation.



Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection,
	appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income -	
SSA	Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Private equity	
	Private equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☐ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation

00 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Our meetings with investment managers usually includes a presentation on their take on ESG matters and their implementation in the manager's investment philosophy. After an investment has been made with a particular manager they are usually trusted to continue with their mindfulness of these matters, as we have not (yet) developed a monitoring process.

00 1	2	Man	datory	Public	Gateway	General
	OO 12	.1	Below are all applicable modules or report (asset classes representing 1 Those which are voluntary to report	10% or more of you	ır AUM) are already ticked and re	
			Core modules			
	☑ C	rganis	sational Overview			
	✓ S	strateg	y and Governance			



RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Listed Equity incorporation

☑ Listed Equity incorporation

Direct - Listed Equity active ownership

- ☑ Engagements
- ☑ (Proxy) voting

Direct - Fixed Income

- ☑ Fixed income SSA
- ☑ Fixed income Corporate (non-financial)
- ☑ Fixed income Securitised

RI implementation via external managers

Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers

- ☑ Listed Equities
- ☑ Fixed income SSA
- ☑ Private Equity

Closing module

☑ Closing module



Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	O Applicable policies cover all AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☐ Screening / exclusions policy	
☐ Engagement policy	
☐ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	

SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following
☐ Your orga	anisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
☐ Your inve	stment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
☐ Time hori	zon of your investment
☐ Governar	nce structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
☐ ESG inco	rporation approaches
☑ Active ow	nership approaches
☐ Reporting	3
☐ Climate c	hange and related issues
☐ Other RI	considerations, specify (1)
☐ Other RI	considerations, specify (2)



SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

The fund's investment principles are a work in progress and have not yet been formally published. In the fund's investment policy though, it is clearly stated that the fund is committed to looking at ESG factors when considering investments.

 \bigcirc No

SG 02 **Mandatory Public Core Assessed** PRI 6 New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully. Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL SG 02.1 and an attachment of the document. ☑ Policy setting out your overall approach **URL/Attachment ☑** URL **URL** https://birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-og-stefnumotun/#eigendastefna ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☐ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an SG 02.2 attachment of the document. ☑ Active ownership approaches **URL/Attachment ☑** URL **URL** https://birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-og-stefnumotun/#eigendastefna □ Attachment \square We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly SG 02.3 available Yes



URL

https://birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-og-stefnumotun/#fjarfestingarstefna

 \bigcirc No

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the SG 03.1 investment process. Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Conflict of interest/potential conflict of interest are prevented

 \bigcirc No

Objectives and strategies

Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed General **SG 05**

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 07.1

Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.



Roles present in	n your organisatio	on		
☑ Board members or trustees	;			
☑ Oversight/accountabil	ty for responsible	investment		
☑ Implementation of res	onsible investme	ent		
☐ No oversight/accounta	bility or impleme	ntation responsibilit	y for responsible investment	
☑ Chief Executive Officer (CE	O), Chief Investn	nent Officer (CIO), I	nvestment Committee	
☑ Oversight/accountabil	ty for responsible	investment		
☑ Implementation of res	ponsible investme	ent		
☐ No oversight/accounta	bility or implemen	ntation responsibilit	y for responsible investment	
☐ Other Chief-level staff or he	ead of departmen	t, specify		
☑ Portfolio managers				
☑ Oversight/accountabil	ty for responsible	investment		
☑ Implementation of res	onsible investme	ent		
☐ No oversight/accounta	bility or impleme	ntation responsibilit	y for responsible investment	
☐ ESG portfolio manager				
☐ Investment analysts				
☑ Dedicated responsible inventor	stment staff			
☑ Oversight/accountabil	ty for responsible	investment		
☑ Implementation of res	oonsible investme	ent		
☐ No oversight/accounta	bility or impleme	ntation responsibilit	y for responsible investment	
☐ External managers or servi	ce providers			
☐ Investor relations				
\square Other role, specify (1)				
\square Other role, specify (2)				
SG 07.2 For the roles for windicate how you			ability or implementation resp	onsibilities,
dhering to formal processes				
SG 07.3 Indicate the numb	er of dedicated re	esponsible investm	ent staff your organisation ha	s.
Number				
0				
Promoting responsible invo	estment			
Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

☑ Principles for Responsible Investment



Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions) ☑ Basic □ Moderate □ Advanced ☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association ☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors ☐ AFIC – La Commission ESG ☐ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board ☐ CDP Climate Change ☐ CDP Forests ☐ CDP Water ☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity ☐ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA) $\hfill\square$ Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century ☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII) ☐ Eumedion ☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) ☐ ESG Research Australia ☐ EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable ☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN) ☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN) ☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB) ☐ Green Bond Principles ☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC) ☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR) ☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN) ☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC) ☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) ☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES ☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum

Founding members of IcelandSIF

☐ United Nations Global Compact

☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance

☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance

☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)

☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)



☐ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify

		Your organisation's role in the	he initiative during	the reporting year (see definition	ns)
	☐ Basic				
	□ Modei	rate			
		iced			
		Provide a brief commentary [Optional]	on the level of you	ur organisation's involvement in	the initiative.
	and debate al		e and responsible	ment forum established to prom investment. Furthermore, one o	
	Other collabo	rative organisation/initiative, sp	pecify		
	Other collabo	rative organisation/initiative, sp	pecify		
	Other collabo	rative organisation/initiative, sp	pecify		
SG 10	Mandat	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
	in	dicate if your organisation prolitiatives.	motes responsible	investment, independently of co	ollaborative
•	Yes				
	SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your or independently of collaboration the objectives of the selected participation/contribution.	īve initiatives. Prov	en to promote responsible inver ride a description of your role in ypical frequency of your	stment contributing to
	education of			(this includes peer to peer RI surs, actuaries, broker/dealers, in	
	☐ Provided	I financial support for academic	c or industry resea	rch on responsible investment	
	☐ Provided	I input and/or collaborated with	academia on RI r	elated work	
	☐ Encouraindustry	ged better transparency and d	isclosure of respor	nsible investment practices acro	ess the investment
	☐ Spoke p	ublicly at events and conference	ces to promote res	ponsible investment	
	☐ Wrote ar	nd published in-house research	n papers on respor	nsible investment	
	☐ Encoura	ged the adoption of the PRI			
	☐ Respond	ded to RI related consultations	by non-governme	ntal organisations (OECD, FSB	etc.)
	☐ Wrote ar	nd published articles on respor	nsible investment in	n the media	
	☐ A memb	er of PRI advisory committees,	/ working groups, s	specify	
	☑ On the B	soard of, or officially advising, o	other RI organisation	ons (e.g. local SIFs)	
		Description			
	Founding	g members of Iceland SIF			

Principles for Responsible Investment

	Frequenc	cy of contribution		
	O Quarterly or more fr	requently		
	○ Biannually			
	○ Annually			
	O Less frequently than	n annually		
	Ad hoc			
	Other			
	Other, specify			
○ No				
Outso	ourcing to fiduciary ma	anagers and investment	consultants	
	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
ew sel	ection options have beer	n added to this indicator. Pl	ease review your prefilled respons	ses carefully.
SG 12	.1 Indicate whether y	your organisation uses investi	ment consultants.	
	Indicate whether y		nent consultants.	
□ Yes		ultants	ment consultants.	
□ Yes	s, we use investment const we do not use investment Indicate whether y	ultants t consultants.	ment consultants. ny of the following responsible inves	tment factors in
☐ Yes ☑ No,	s, we use investment const we do not use investment Indicate whether the monitoring of	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers		tment factors in
☐ Yes ☑ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☑ Disa	s, we use investment construe we do not use investment. Indicate whether the monitoring of the monitoring of the did not use investment.	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers nent as a standard agenda iter	ny of the following responsible inves n at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's ove	
☐ Yes ☑ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☑ Disc beliefs	Indicate whether your the monitoring of uding responsible investment cussing whether the fiducial strategy/ policy on response.	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers nent as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acc	ny of the following responsible invesor at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's over actors	
☐ Yes ☑ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☑ Disk beliefs ☐ Rev	Indicate whether y the monitoring of uding responsible investment cussing whether the fiducial strategy/ policy on responsible the fiduciary management of the strategy/ policy on responsible the fiduciary management of the strategy/ policy on responsible the fiduciary management of the strategy/ policy on responsible the fiduciary management of the strategy/ policy on responsible the strategy/ policy on responsible the fiduciary management of the strategy of	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers nent as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acconsible investment and ESG farger's PRI Transparency or Asserted	ny of the following responsible invesor at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's over actors	erall investment
☐ Yes ☑ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☑ Diss beliefs ☐ Rev	Indicate whether yethe monitoring of the monitoring of the discovery cussing whether the fiducial strategy/ policy on responsible investments of the monitoring of the monitor	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers nent as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acconsible investment and ESG farger's PRI Transparency or Asager's responsible investment	ny of the following responsible invesor at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's over actors	erall investment
☐ Yes ☑ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☑ Disr beliefs ☐ Rev ☐ Rev	Indicate whether yethe monitoring of uding responsible investment cussing whether the fiducial strategy/ policy on responsible with the fiduciary managine wing the fiduciary managine wing the fiduciary managine wing ESG characteristics.	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers nent as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acconsible investment and ESG farger's PRI Transparency or Asager's responsible investment acs/factors used by the fiduciary	ny of the following responsible invesor at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's overctors sessment reports	erall investment eports)
☐ Yes ☑ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☑ Diss beliefs ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev	Indicate whether yethe monitoring of uding responsible investment cussing whether the fiducial strategy/ policy on responsible wirewing the fiduciary managine wirewing ESG characteristic viewing the fiduciary managine wirewing the fiduciary managine.	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers nent as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acconsible investment and ESG farger's PRI Transparency or Asager's responsible investment acs/factors used by the fiduciary	ny of the following responsible investings or at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's overctors sessment reports reporting (excluding PRI generated of manager in portfolio construction as of ESG through-out asset classes	erall investment eports)
☐ Yes ☐ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☐ Disc ☐ beliefs ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev	Indicate whether yethe monitoring of the monitoring of uding responsible investment cussing whether the fiducias/ strategy/ policy on responsiviewing the fiduciary managinewing ESG characteristic viewing the fiduciary managinewing the fiduciary managinewing the fiduciary managinewing the impact of ESG couraging your fiduciary managiness.	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers nent as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acconsible investment and ESG farger's PRI Transparency or Asager's responsible investment acs/factors used by the fiduciary ager's incorporation approaches factors on financial performance.	ny of the following responsible investings or at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's overectors sessment reports reporting (excluding PRI generated reporting in portfolio construction es of ESG through-out asset classes ince	erall investment eports)
☐ Yes ☐ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☐ Diss beliefs ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev	Indicate whether yethe monitoring of the monitoring the fiduciary managine wirewing the fiduciary managine monitoring the impact of ESG couraging your fiduciary managine in educational or collaboration.	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers nent as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acconsible investment and ESG farger's PRI Transparency or Assertion ager's responsible investment acs/factors used by the fiduciary ager's incorporation approaches a factors on financial performant anagers to consider joining relaborative projects with other in	ny of the following responsible investings or at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's overectors sessment reports reporting (excluding PRI generated reporting in portfolio construction es of ESG through-out asset classes ince	erall investment eports) nisations or
☐ Yes ☐ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☐ Disc ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Enc ☐ partici ☐ Incl	Indicate whether yethe monitoring of the monitoring of uding responsible investment cussing whether the fiducias/ strategy/ policy on responsivewing the fiduciary manageriewing the impact of ESG couraging your fiduciary managerie in educational or collaborations.	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers ment as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acconsible investment and ESG farger's PRI Transparency or Asager's responsible investment as ager's responsible investment as factors used by the fiduciary ager's incorporation approached factors on financial performance anagers to consider joining reaborative projects with other intent criteria as a formal composition.	ny of the following responsible investings or at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's overctors sessment reports reporting (excluding PRI generated reporting to portfolio construction es of ESG through-out asset classes ince sponsible investment initiatives/organivestors	erall investment eports) nisations or e evaluation
☐ Yes ☐ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☐ Disc ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Incl ☐ Incl ☐ Rev	Indicate whether yethe monitoring of the monitoring of uding responsible investment cussing whether the fiducial strategy/ policy on responsiviewing the fiduciary management of the monitoring of the policy on responsiviewing the fiduciary management of t	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers ment as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acconsible investment and ESG farger's PRI Transparency or Asager's responsible investment as ager's responsible investment as factors used by the fiduciary ager's incorporation approached factors on financial performance anagers to consider joining reaborative projects with other intent criteria as a formal composition.	ny of the following responsible investings or at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's overactors sessment reports reporting (excluding PRI generated review manager in portfolio construction as of ESG through-out asset classes ince sponsible investment initiatives/organivestors onent of overall manager performance and managers' selection, appointment of managers' selection, appointment.	erall investment eports) nisations or e evaluation
☐ Yes ☐ No, SG 12 ☐ Incl ☐ Dist beliefs ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Rev ☐ Enc partici ☐ Incl ☐ Rev	Indicate whether yethe monitoring of the monitoring of uding responsible investment cussing whether the fiducial strategy/ policy on responsiviewing the fiduciary management of the monitoring of the policy on responsiviewing the fiduciary management of t	ultants t consultants. your organisation considers a fiduciary managers ment as a standard agenda iter ary manager has acted in acconsible investment and ESG farger's PRI Transparency or Asager's responsible investment es/factors used by the fiduciary ager's incorporation approaches a factors on financial performant anagers to consider joining reaborative projects with other intent criteria as a formal component of the property of the prope	ny of the following responsible investings or at performance review meetings ordance with your organisation's overactors sessment reports reporting (excluding PRI generated review manager in portfolio construction as of ESG through-out asset classes ince sponsible investment initiatives/organivestors onent of overall manager performance and managers' selection, appointment of managers' selection, appointment.	erall investment eports) nisations or e evaluation

ESG issues in asset allocation



SG 13 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 13.1	Indicate if your organisation future ESG trends at portfo	n executes scenario anal lio level is calculated.	sis and/or modelling in whic	th the risk profil
	cute scenario analysis which incental trends	cludes factors representi	ng the investment impacts of	future
☐ We exe	cute scenario analysis which inc	cludes factors representi	ng the investment impacts of	future social
☐ We exe	cute scenario analysis which inc	cludes factors representi	ng the investment impacts of	future governa
	sider scenario analysis that incl ks and opportunities	udes factors representing	the investment impacts of f	uture climate-
□ We exe	cute other scenario analysis, sp	ecify		
☑ We do i	not execute such scenario analy	sis and/or modelling		
Commur	nication			
				2210
SG 19.1			Core Assessed oses asset class specific info and the public, and provide a	ormation. Select
	Indicate whether your organ	nisation proactively disclo	oses asset class specific info	ormation. Select
	Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information.	nisation proactively disclo	oses asset class specific info	
	Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information.	nisation proactively disclo	oses asset class specific info	ormation. Select
SG 19.1	Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information. Fixed income	nisation proactively discloto to clients/beneficiaries a	oses asset class specific info nd the public, and provide a	ormation. Select
SG 19.1 ⊚ W	Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information. Fixed income Do you disclose?	nisation proactively discleto clients/beneficiaries a	oses asset class specific info nd the public, and provide a	ormation. Select
SG 19.1	Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information. Fixed income Do you disclose? e do not disclose to either clients	nisation proactively discleto clients/beneficiaries a	oses asset class specific info nd the public, and provide a	ormation. Select
SG 19.1	Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information. Fixed income Do you disclose? e do not disclose to either clients e disclose to clients/beneficiaries	nisation proactively discleto clients/beneficiaries a	oses asset class specific info nd the public, and provide a	ormation. Select
SG 19.1	Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information. Fixed income Do you disclose? e do not disclose to either clients e disclose to clients/beneficiaries	nisation proactively discleto clients/beneficiaries as	oses asset class specific info nd the public, and provide a	ormation. Select
SG 19.1	Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information. Fixed income Do you disclose? e do not disclose to either clients e disclose to clients/beneficiaries e disclose to the public	nisation proactively discleto clients/beneficiaries as	oses asset class specific info nd the public, and provide a	ormation. Select
SG 19.1	Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information. Fixed income Do you disclose? e do not disclose to either clients e disclose to clients/beneficiaries e disclose to the public	nisation proactively discleto clients/beneficiaries as	oses asset class specific info nd the public, and provide a	ormation. Select
● W(○ W(Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information. Fixed income Do you disclose? e do not disclose to either clients e disclose to clients/beneficiaries e disclose to the public Listed equity - Engagement	nisation proactively discleto clients/beneficiaries as	oses asset class specific info and the public, and provide a	ormation. Select
● W(Indicate whether your organ frequency of the disclosure information. Fixed income Do you disclose? e do not disclose to either clients e disclose to clients/beneficiaries e disclose to the public Listed equity - Engagement	nisation proactively discleto clients/beneficiaries as s/beneficiaries or the public sonly.	oses asset class specific info and the public, and provide a	ormation. Select



Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Explain all voting decisions	
O Explain some voting decisions	
Only explain abstentions and votes against management	
○ No explanations provided	
Frequency	
□ Quarterly	
□ Biannually	
☑ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad hoc/when requested	

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

Do you disclose?

- $\ensuremath{\bullet}$ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- \bigcirc We disclose to the public



Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



M 01	Mandato	ry	Public	Gatewa	y	PRI
SAM	to i	icate which of the following ESG mplement on your behalf for all y	incorporation str your listed equity	ategies you and/or fixed	require income	your external manage assets:
	A	active investment strategies				
		Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA		
		Screening				
		Thematic				
		Integration				
		None of the above	V			
	Р	Passive investment strategies				
		Passive investment strate	gies Listed Eq	uity		
		Screening				
		Thematic				
		Integration				
		None of the above	\checkmark			

SAM 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

SAM 02.1

Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers



	LE	FI - SSA		Private equity	
Investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	\checkmark	V		V	
ESG incorporation requirements					
ESG reporting requirements					
Other					
No RI information covered in the RFPs					

SAM 02.2

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy

	LE	FI - SSA		Private equity	
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements					
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG					
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process					
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI					
Assess the ESG definitions to be used					
Other					
None of the above	V	V		V	

ESG people/oversight



	LE	FI - SSA		Private equity	
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams					
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation					
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured					
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry					
Other					
None of the above	V	V		I	

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation

	LE	FI - SSA		Private equity	
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used					
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process					
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions					
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, real-world impact)					
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework					
Review and agree ESG risk limits at athe portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives					
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager					
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents					$\dagger \dagger$
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail					
Other, specify					
None of the above	V	\checkmark		\square	
SAM 02.3 Indicate the selection process and its ESG/RI com	ponen	ts			
 □ Review ESG/RI responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc. □ Review responses to PRI's Limited Partners' Responsible Inventor Review publicly available information on ESG/RI □ Review assurance process on ESG/RI data and processes □ Review PRI Transparency Reports □ Request and discuss PRI Assessment Reports □ Meetings with the potential shortlisted managers covering ESG □ Site visits to potential managers offices □ Other, specify 			gence	Questionnaire (LP DD



	LE	FI - SSA		Private equity	
ESG performance development targets					
ESG score					
ESG weight					
Real world economy targets					
Other RI considerations					
None of the above	V	V		V	

	Outpu	ıts ar	nd outcomes			
SAM	09	Mar	ndatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,6
	SAM 0	9.1	Provide examples of how ESG issu and/or monitoring process for your		addressed in the manager selection, luring the reporting year.	appointment
'	☐ Add	Exan	nple 1			
	□ Add	Exam	nple 2			
	□ Add	Exan	nple 3			
	□ Add	Exan	nple 4			
	☐ Add	Exan	pple 5			
	☑ We	are no	ot able to provide examples			

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEI 01.1

Indicate (1) which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities and (2) the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies (+/- 5%)

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

☑ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to		
which the strategy is applied		%
	100	

- ☐ Thematic alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Screening and integration strategies
- ☐ Thematic and integration strategies
- \square Screening and thematic strategies
- ☐ All three strategies combined
- ☐ We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities

100%

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

Lack of resources

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1



LEI 04.1

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

Type of screening

☑ Negative/exclusionary screening

S	creened by
	□ Product
	☐ Activity
	□ Sector
	☐ Country/geographic region
	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Environmental and social practices and performance
	☑ Corporate governance

Description

The internally managed active listed equites consists of domestic listed equities. The fund is fairly well connected and in tune with the Icelandic market, so we are constantly on the lookout for potential ESG violations and react to them accordingly, on an ad-hoc basis.

□ Positive/best-in-class screening

☐ Norms-based screening

LEI 04.2

Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

na.

LEI 05	Mandato	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
	LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your analysis.	organisation uses	to ensure screening is based on	robust
	☐ Comprehe	ensive ESG research is undertak	en or sourced to de	etermine companies' activities an	d products.
		es are given the opportunity by yonaccuracies	ou or your research	n provider to review ESG research	n on them
		esearch and data used to identif taff, the internal audit function or	•	excluded/included is subject to in	ternal audit
	☐ Third-part	y ESG ratings are updated regul	larly to ensure that	portfolio holdings comply with fun	nd policies.
		tee or body with representatives are or all screening decisions	independent of the	individuals who conduct compan	y research
	☐ A periodic	review of the quality of the rese	arch undertaken or	provided is carried out	
	☐ Review a	nd evaluation of external researc	h providers		
	☐ Other, sp	ecify			
	☑ None of the state of the	ne above			



Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Ove	erview				
1	Mandato	ry	Public	Core Assessed	PRI
	/ selection op efully.	otions have been added	to this indicator. Ple	ease review your prefilled res	sponses
LE	E A 01.1 Ir	ndicate whether your orga	anisation has a formal	engagement policy.	
•) Yes				
					_
	LEA 01.2	Attach or provide a U	RL to your engageme	ent policy.	
	∧ 44 = ala :==				
	O Attachir	nent provided:			
	AttachirURL pro	-			
		-			
		-			
	• URL pro	url	og-stefnumotun/#eige	ndastefna	
	• URL pro	ovided:	og-stefnumotun/#eigel	ndastefna	
	• URL pro	url	og-stefnumotun/#eigel	ndastefna	
	• URL pro	ovided: URL birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-d	og-stefnumotun/#eigel		
	● URL pro	ovided: URL birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-d			
	● URL pro https://b LEA 01.3	URL oirta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-o			
	● URL pro https://b LEA 01.3 □ Conflicts □ Insider i	URL birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-o Indicate what your er s of interest	ngagement policy cove	ers:	
	● URL pro https://b LEA 01.3 □ Conflicts □ Insider i □ Alignme	URL oirta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-o Indicate what your er s of interest information	gagement policy cove	ers:	
	● URL pro https://b LEA 01.3 □ Conflicts □ Insider i □ Alignme □ Due dilig	Indicate what your en	gagement policy cove	ers:	
	● URL pro https://b LEA 01.3 □ Conflicts □ Insider i □ Alignme □ Due dilig	Indicate what your er s of interest information ent with national stewards gence and monitoring pro	gagement policy covership code requirement	ers:	
	● URL pro https://b LEA 01.3 □ Conflicts □ Insider i □ Alignme □ Due dilig □ Prioritiss □ Transpa	Indicate what your ender with national stewards gence and monitoring proation of engagements	gagement policy covership code requirement	ers:	
	● URL pro https://b LEA 01.3 □ Conflicts □ Insider i □ Alignme □ Due dilig □ Prioritiss □ Transpa	Indicate what your ender with national stewards gence and monitoring protection of engagements arency of engagement accumental factors	gagement policy covership code requirement	ers:	
	● URL pro https://b LEA 01.3 □ Conflicts □ Insider i □ Alignme □ Due dilig □ Prioritiss □ Transpa □ Environ □ Social fa	Indicate what your ender with national stewards gence and monitoring protection of engagements arency of engagement accumental factors	gagement policy covership code requirement	ers:	
	● URL pro https://b LEA 01.3 □ Conflicts □ Insider i □ Alignme □ Due dilig □ Prioritiss □ Transpa □ Environ □ Social fa	Indicate what your er s of interest information ent with national stewards gence and monitoring proation of engagements arency of engagement actions actors ance factors	gagement policy covership code requirement	ers:	

The fund attends the shareholder meetings of the listed Icelandic companies.

 \bigcirc No



LEA 02 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff engagements	 ☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues ☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure ☐ Other, specify ☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	 ☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues ☑ To encourage improved/inreased ESG disclosure ☐ Other, specify ☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	 □ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues □ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure □ Other, specify ☑ We do not engage via service providers Please specify why your organisation does not engage via service providers. Because we use internal staff and collaborative engagements.

Process

Process for engagements run internally

LEA 03 Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

○ Yes

No

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2



New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

	LEA 04.1	Indicate if you define specific	c objectives for yo	but engagement activities.		
	○ Yes					
	We do	not define specific objectives f	or engagement a	ctivities carried out by internal staff.		
	LEA 04.2	nies take during and following your	engagements			
	Yes					
Yes, in all cases						
	O Y	es, in the majority of cases				
	○ Y	es, in the minority of cases				
	○ We do internal s		mpanies take foll	owing engagement activities carried	l out by	
	LEA 04.3	Indicate if you do any of the engagement activities carrie		tor and evaluate the progress of you staff.	ır	
	☐ Define tir	nelines for your objectives				
		and/or monitoring progress aga	ainst defined obje	ectives		
	□ Tracking	and or monitoring progress of	actions taken who	en original objectives are not met		
	☐ Revisit a	nd, if necessary, revise objective	es on continuous	basis		
	☐ Other, ple	ease specify				
	□ We do no	ot monitor and evaluate progres	ss of engagement	t activities carried out by internal sta	ff	
_						
Р	rocess for er	ngagements conducted vi	a collaboratior	ns		
LEA 05	Mandate	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2	
	New selection carefully.	n options have been added to	this indicator.	Please review your prefilled respo	onses	
	LEA 05.1	Indicate whether your organicollaborative engagements	isation has a form	nal process for identifying and priorit	ising	
	○ Yes					
	No					
	LEA 05.3	Additional information [Option	onal]			
	Not yet, the Ice in 2018.	elandSIF was only founded in r	november 2017, s	so much of the forum's work has bee	en conducted	

PRI 2

Core Assessed

Public

Mandatory

LEA 06

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 06.1	Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively.			
○ Yes				
• We do	not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively.			
LEA 06.2	Indicate if you monitor the actions companies take during and following your collaborative engagements.			
○ Yes				
We do collaborat	not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out tively			
LEA 06.3	Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities.			
☐ Define tin	nelines for your objectives			
☐ Tracking	and/or monitoring progress against defined objectives			
☐ Tracking	and or monitoring progress of actions taken when original objectives are not met			
☐ Revisit ar	nd, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis			
☐ Other, ple	ease specify			
☑ We do no	☑ We do not monitor and evaluate progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff			
LEA 06.4	Additional information. [Optional]			

G	General processes for all three groups of engagers					
LEA 09	Voluntary		Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2	
	LEA 09.1	Indicate if insights gained from investment managers.	n your engagement	s are shared with your internal or	external	



Type of engagement	Insights shared	
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo	
Collaborative engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo	

LEA 09.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Not yet, the IcelandSIF was only founded in november 2017, so much of the forum's work has been conducted in 2018.

LEA 10 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 10.1

Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	 Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements We do not track
Collaborative engagements	 Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements We do not track and cannot estimate our engagements

LEA 10.2 Additional information. [OPTIONAL

Not yet, the IcelandSIF was only founded in november 2017, so much of the forum's work has been conducted in 2018.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Overview

LEA 15 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1,2,3

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



LEA 15.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.

Yes

LEA 15.2	Indicate what your voting policy covers:				
☑ Conflicts	☑ Conflicts of interest				
☐ Share blo	☐ Share blocking				
☐ Securities	s lending process				
☐ Prioritisat	tion of voting activities				
☐ Decision	making processes				
☑ Environm	nental factors				
☑ Social face	ctors				
	nce factors				
☐ Filing/co-	filing resolutions				
□ Extraordi	nary meetings				
☐ Regional	voting practices				
☐ Transpar	ency of proxy voting activities				
☐ Company	dialogue pre/post vote				
☐ Other, de	escribe				
☐ None of t	he above				
LEA 15.3	Attach or provide a URL to your voting policy. [Optional]				
	URL				
https://hirta	is/um-sindinn/stiorn-og-stefnumotun/#eigendastefna				

 $\bigcirc \ \mathsf{No}$

Process Process						
LEA 16 Manda		Manda	atory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
LEA 16.1		16.1	Indicate how you typically make y	your (proxy) voting	decisions.	
			Approach			

• We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.



	Based on						
	our own voting policy						
O 0	O our clients' requests or policy						
O 0	O other, explain						
	O We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.						
	re service provider(s) that make versions for which we review and make		r behalf, except for some pr	re-defined			
○ We hir	re service provider(s) that make	voting decisions on ou	r behalf.				
LEA 16.2	Provide an overview of how y approach when exceptions to			details of your			
a							
a							
	latory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2			
Mand	datory n options have been added to						
Mand		this indicator. Please	e review your prefilled res	ponses			
Mand lew selection carefully.	n options have been added to a long limit of the second and second	this indicator. Please	e review your prefilled res	ponses			
Mand lew selection arefully. LEA 20.1 O Yes, in m	n options have been added to a long limit of the second and second	this indicator. Please	e review your prefilled res	ponses			
Mand lew selection arefully. LEA 20.1 O Yes, in m © Sometim	Indicate whether you or the so companies ahead of voting	this indicator. Please	e review your prefilled res	ponses			
Mand lew selection arefully. LEA 20.1 Yes, in many Sometime Vote	Indicate whether you or the so companies ahead of voting most cases les, in the following cases:	this indicator. Please	e review your prefilled res	ponses			
Mand lew selection rarefully. LEA 20.1 Yes, in m Sometim Vote	Indicate whether you or the secompanies ahead of voting most cases les, in the following cases:	this indicator. Please	e review your prefilled res	ponses			
Mand lew selection rarefully. LEA 20.1 Yes, in m Sometime Vote	Indicate whether you or the so companies ahead of voting most cases les, in the following cases: les for selected markets les relating to certain ESG issues	this indicator. Please ervice providers acting	e review your prefilled res	ponses			
Mand lew selection arefully. LEA 20.1 Yes, in m Sometim Vote Vote	Indicate whether you or the secompanies ahead of voting most cases lies, in the following cases: les for selected markets les relating to certain ESG issues les for significant shareholdings	this indicator. Please ervice providers acting	e review your prefilled res	ponses			



 $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 20

LEA 20.2

Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.

○ Yes, in most cases
☐ Votes in selected markets
✓ Votes on certain issues
☐ Votes for significant shareholdings
☐ Votes for companies we are engaging with
☐ On request by clients
☐ On request by companies
□ Other
○ We do not communicate the rationale to companies
O Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 21 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

LEA 21.1

For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- O We do track or collect this information
- We do not track or collect this information

LEA 22	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2

LEA 22.1

Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- O Yes, we track this information
- No, we do not track this information



Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1

FI 01.1

Indicate 1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and 2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.

SSA		
		Screening alone
	0	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		the most an above
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
		Screening + themalic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	100	
	100%	
Corporate (non-		
financial)		Screening alone
	100	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Consequence to interpreting attractions
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	

Thematic + integration strategies
0
Screening + thematic strategies
0
All three strategies combined
0
No incorporation strategies applied
0
100%

Securitised		
000000000000000000000000000000000000000		Screening alone
	100	
		Thematic alone
	0	
		Integration alone
	0	
		Screening + integration strategies
	0	
		Thematic + integration strategies
	0	
		Screening + thematic strategies
	0	
		All three strategies combined
	0	
		No incorporation strategies applied
	0	
	100%	

FI 01.2

Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

Mainly screening due to lack for resources and domestic fixed income options.

FI 01.3 Additional i

Regarding SSA fixed income, we invest heavily in Icelandic Government bonds and don't exactly screen the Icelandic government on ESG issues, although we fully assume that the government behaves in ways that are in accordance with ESG issues.



Mand	atory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1	
FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that you	ur ESG research pro	ocess is robust:		
☐ Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies					
☐ Issuer inf	ormation and/or ESG ratings are up	odated regularly to	ensure ESG research is accurate		
☐ Internal a	udits of ESG research are undertak	ken in a systematic	way		
☐ ESG ana	lysis is benchmarked for quality aga	ainst other providers	S		
☐ Other, sp	ecify				
✓ None of t	he above				
FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG informat	tion or analysis is sl	hared among your investment tea	ım.	
☐ ESG info	rmation is held within a centralised	database and is ac	cessible to all investment staff		
☐ ESG info	rmation is a standard item on all ind	lividual issuer sumr	maries, 'tear sheets', 'dashboards	or similar	
☐ Investme committee n	nt staff are required to discuss ESG neetings	information on iss	uers as a standard item during inv	vestment	
□ Records	capture how ESG information and r	esearch was incorp	porated into investment decisions		
☐ Other, sp	ecify				
✓ None of t	he above				
FI 03.3	Additional information. [Optional]				

Due to lack of resources, manpower and the fact that formal ESG issues are relatively new to the Icelandic investment environment, we do not do "systematic research" on issuers, but we fully assume that they incorporate ESG issues in their operations. Should we find out that that was not the case, we react accordingly on an ad-hoc basis.

	(A) Impleme	ntation: Screening			
FI 04	Mand	atory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
	FI 04.1	Indicate the type of screening you	u conduct.		

Select all that apply



	Corporate (non-financial)	Securitised
	\checkmark	
Negative/exclusionary screening		
Positive/best-in-class screening		
Norms-based screening		

FI 04.2 Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

Due to lack of resources, manpower and the fact that formal ESG issues are relatively new to the Icelandic investment environment, we do not do "systematic research" on issuers, but we fully assume that they incorporate ESG issues in their operations. Should we find out that that was not the case, we react accordingly on an ad-hoc basis.

FI 05 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

Corporate (non-financial)

Corporate (non-fin)

☑ For legal reasons

FI 05.2

Describe your approach to ESG-based negative screening of issuers from your investable universe.

We mainly stay in tune with the domestic news. Due to lack of resources, manpower and the fact that formal ESG issues are relatively new to the Icelandic investment environment, we do not do "systematic research" on issuers, but we fully assume that they incorporate ESG issues in their operations. Should we find out that that was not the case, we react accordingly on an ad-hoc basis.

FI 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1

FI 07.1

Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.



Type of screening	Checks
	☐ Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria
Negative/exclusionary screening?	$\hfill \square$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years.
	☐ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria
	$\hfill \square$ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions
	☐ Other, specify
	☑ None of the above
	☐ Checks are performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria
Norms-based screening	$\hfill \square$ We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least every 2 years.
	☐ Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria
	☐ Audits of fund holdings are undertaken regularly by internal audit or compliance functions
	☐ Other, specify
	☑ None of the above

FI 07.2 Additional information. [Optional]

We mainly stay in tune with the domestic news. Due to lack of resources, manpower and the fact that formal ESG issues are relatively new to the Icelandic investment environment, we do not do "systematic research" on issuers, but we fully assume that they incorporate ESG issues in their operations. Should we find out that that was not the case, we react accordingly on an ad-hoc basis.



Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Assurance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Assurance Public CM1 01.1 **Core Assessed Mandatory** General New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully. Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this CM1 01.1 year has undergone: ☐ Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report ☐ Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year ☐ Third party assurance or audit of the implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this ☐ Internal audit conducted by internal auditors of the implementation of RI processes and/or RI data that have been reported to the PRI this year) ☐ Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board) ☑ Other, specify Coworkers (fund managers) ☐ None of the above CM1 01.2 & **Mandatory Public Descriptive** 01.8 Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report? CM1 01.2 O Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured O Selected data will be assured • We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report CM1 01.3 & **Mandatory Public Descriptive** General 01.9 CM1 01.3 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report O Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year O Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report

CM1 01.4, 10-12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
10-12				

• We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report, or we did not have such a report last year.



C1	MA.	01.	A
u, n	/1		4

We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
\square We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
☐ ESG audit of holdings
☑ Other, specify
As mentioned before, Birta Pension Fund is a member of Iceland SIF.
□ None of the above

