



RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2020

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
🔍	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	🔒	n/a	✓						
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	🔒	n/a		✓					
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	✓					✓	
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	-	n/a	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	-	n/a	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	-	n/a		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	-	n/a	✓						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	-	n/a	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 01.1 Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

- ☐ Non-corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- ☒ Corporate pension or superannuation or retirement or provident fund or plan
- ☐ Insurance company
- ☐ Foundation
- ☐ Endowment
- ☐ Development finance institution
- ☐ Reserve - sovereign or government controlled fund
- ☐ Family office
- ☐ Other, specify

OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------

OO 02.1 Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Iceland

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- ☒ 1
- ☐ 2-5
- ☐ 6-10
- ☐ >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

26

OO 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

OO 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
-------	-----------	--------	-----------------	---------

OO 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

OO 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		3	400	000	000
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		3	400	000	000

☐ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 06	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO 06.1	Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.
---------	---

☒ as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	8	31
Fixed income	51	2
Private equity	0	6
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	2	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

☐ as broad ranges

OO 06.2	Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].
---------	---

OO 06.3	Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].
----------------	---

- ☐ Yes
☐ No

OO 06.5	Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.
----------------	---

- ☒ Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
☐ No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 09.1	Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.
----------------	--

	Developed Markets
--	-------------------

94

	Emerging Markets
--	------------------

6

	Frontier Markets
--	------------------

0

	Other Markets
--	---------------

0

	Total 100%
--	------------

100%

OO 09.2	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

Here we assume Iceland is an developed market (ss of February 2015, MSCI classified Iceland as a developed market); roughly 69% of AUM are in domestic investments. Of Birta's foreign investments, the split between DM/EM is roughly 87/13.

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 10	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 10.1	Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.
----------------	---

Listed equity – engagement

- ☒ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- ☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- ☒ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- ☐ We require our external managers to vote on our behalf.
- ☐ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

Fixed income SSA – engagement

- ☐ We engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- ☐ We require our external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors on our behalf.
- ☒ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with SSA bond issuers on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

The SSA instruments we invest in are mainly government and municipal bonds. Although we do not actively engage the issuers on ESG matters (namely, the Icelandic government), we fully expect them to address these issues and be mindful of them.

Fixed income Corporate (financial) – engagement

- ☐ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- ☒ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Please explain why you do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

The investments Birta makes that fall under "Fixed Income Corporate (financial)" consists almost entirely in covered bonds issued by Icelandic banks. Those three entities are fairly ESG focused, and thus Birta hasn't had to engage in any way, but if the banks would engage in practices that the fund deems not in line with ESG factors, the fund would most likely raise its concern.

Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement

- ☒ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

OO 11

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- ☒ We address ESG incorporation.
- ☐ We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - SSA

- ☒ We address ESG incorporation.
- ☐ We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (financial)

- ☒ We address ESG incorporation.
- ☐ We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- ☒ We address ESG incorporation.
- ☐ We do not do ESG incorporation.

Cash

- ☒ We address ESG incorporation.
- ☐ We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 11.2

Select the externally managed assets classes in which you and/or your investment consultants address ESG incorporation in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.

Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	<div>Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</div> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process <input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process <input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process <input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - SSA	<div>Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</div> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process <input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process <input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process <input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation
Private equity	<div>Private equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes</div> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process <input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process <input type="checkbox"/> We invest only in pooled funds and external manager appointment is not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process <input type="checkbox"/> We do not do ESG incorporation

OO 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Our meetings with investment managers usually includes a presentation on their take on ESG matters and their implementation in the manager's investment philosophy. After an investment has been made with a particular manager they are usually trusted to continue with their mindfulness of these matters, as we have not (yet) developed a monitoring process.

OO 12

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 12.1

Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- ☒ Organisational Overview
- ☒ Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers	
	Direct - Listed Equity incorporation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Listed Equity incorporation	
	Direct - Listed Equity active ownership
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engagements	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting	
	Direct - Fixed Income
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fixed income - SSA	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fixed income - Corporate (financial)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)	
RI implementation via external managers	
	Indirect - Selection, Appointment and Monitoring of External Managers
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Listed Equities	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fixed income - SSA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private Equity	
Closing module	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Closing module	

Peering questions

OO LE 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
----------	---	--------	---------	---------

OO LE 01.1	Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.
------------	---

Percentage of internally managed listed equities

	Passive
0	
	Active - quantitative (quant)
0	

	Active - fundamental and active - other
--	---

100

	Total
--	-------

100%

OO LE 01.2	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------------	------------------------------------

The entirety of Birta's internally managed listed equities is in the domestic stock market, where we base our decisions on fundamental factors. Here we understood the matter as such that investments in UCITS funds etc. fall under externally managed.

OO FI 01	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
-----------------	--	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO FI 01.1	Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies
-------------------	---

SSA	<div> <div>Passive</div> <div>0</div> </div> <div> <div>Active - quantitative (quant)</div> <div>0</div> </div> <div> <div>Active - fundamental and active - other</div> <div>100</div> </div> <div> <div>Total</div> <div>100%</div> </div>
Corporate (financial)	<div> <div>Passive</div> <div>0</div> </div> <div> <div>Active - quantitative (quant)</div> <div>0</div> </div> <div> <div>Active - fundamental and active - other</div> <div>100</div> </div> <div> <div>Total</div> <div>100%</div> </div>
Corporate (non-financial)	<div> <div>Passive</div> <div>0</div> </div> <div> <div>Active - quantitative (quant)</div> <div>0</div> </div> <div> <div>Active - fundamental and active - other</div> <div>100</div> </div> <div> <div>Total</div> <div>100%</div> </div>

OO FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
----------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.1	Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your SSA investments, by developed markets and emerging markets.
------------	---

SSA	<table> <tr> <td></td><td>Developed markets</td></tr> <tr> <td>100</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>Emerging markets</td></tr> <tr> <td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>Total</td></tr> <tr> <td>100%</td><td></td></tr> </table>		Developed markets	100			Emerging markets	0			Total	100%	
	Developed markets												
100													
	Emerging markets												
0													
	Total												
100%													

OO FI 03.2	Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.
------------	---

Type	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (non-financial)	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	100%

OO FI 03.3	Additional information. [Optional]
------------	------------------------------------

As of February 2015, MSCI classified Iceland as a developed market.

	If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below
--	---

☐ OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

☒ Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- ☐ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- ☐ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- ☐ Time horizon of your investment
- ☒ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ☒ ESG incorporation approaches
- ☒ Active ownership approaches
- ☒ Reporting
- ☐ Climate change
- ☐ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- ☒ Other RI considerations, specify (1)

	Other description (1)
--	-----------------------

Birta promotes the GRI standards, the UN Global Compact principles, along with Icelandic governance standards put forth by Nasdaq OMX, SA Confederation of Icelandic Enterprise and the Icelandic Chamber of Commerce.

☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4	Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.
----------------	--

In the fund's investment policy it is clearly stated that the fund is committed to looking at ESG factors when considering investments.

☐ No

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	--

☒ Policy setting out your overall approach

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

☒ URL

	URL
--	-----

<https://birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-og-stefnumotun/#eigendastefna>

☐ Attachment (will be made public)

☐ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2	Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	---

☐ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities

☐ ESG incorporation approaches

☒ Active ownership approaches

	URL/Attachment
--	----------------

☒ URL

	URL
--	-----

<https://birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-og-stefnumotun/#eigendastefna>

- ☐ Attachment
- ☐ Reporting
- ☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- ☐ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3	Additional information [Optional].
----------------	------------------------------------

In the attached URL the fund's votes on shareholders' meetings for the Icelandic listed companies are available for scrutiny, to further promote transparency in the fund's take on RI matters.

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

☒ Yes

SG 03.2	Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

Conflict of interest/potential conflict of interest are prevented, e.g. the employees of the fund shall not take seats on a company board of directors, whether it is a listed company or not. Furthermore, the fund's employees shall not take seats on the board of a company in which the fund is invested in, but there is a distinction made between companies and holding companies; Birta's employees are allowed to take a seat on a holding company's board of directors, given the holding company was set up around a specific investment and/or investment consultancy which adheres to specific factors of the fund's operations.

☐ No

Objectives and strategies				
----------------------------------	--	--	--	--

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1	Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.
----------------	---

- ☐ Quarterly or more frequently
- ☐ Biannually
- ☒ Annually
- ☐ Less frequently than annually
- ☐ Ad-hoc basis
- ☐ It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

When the fund's investment policy is put forth each year, the investment committee and the fund's board discusses the objectives for the forthcoming year.

Governance and human resources

SG 07**Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****General****SG 07.1**

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- ☒ Board members or trustees
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☒ Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☐ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- ☒ Portfolio managers
 - ☒ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☒ Implementation of responsible investment
 - ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☐ Investment analysts
- ☐ Dedicated responsible investment staff
- ☐ Investor relations
- ☐ Other role, specify (1)
- ☐ Other role, specify (2)
- ☐ External managers or service providers

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

Adhering to formal processes

SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
----------------	--

	Number
--	--------

0

SG 07.4	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

The total number of investment professionals the fund currently has is 3.

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 09.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

☒ Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☒ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
- ☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- ☐ AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- ☐ France Invest – La Commission ESG
- ☐ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- ☐ CDP Climate Change
- ☐ CDP Forests
- ☐ CDP Water
- ☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- ☐ Climate Action 100+
- ☐ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- ☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- ☐ Eumedion
- ☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ☐ ESG Research Australia
- ☐ Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- ☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- ☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- ☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- ☐ Green Bond Principles
- ☐ HKVCA: ESG Committee
- ☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- ☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- ☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- ☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- ☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- ☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- ☒ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☐ Basic
- ☒ Moderate
- ☐ Advanced
- ☐ Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- ☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- ☐ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- ☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- ☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- ☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- ☐ United Nations Global Compact
- ☒ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Founding members of IcelandSIF

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- ☐ Basic
- ☐ Moderate
- ☒ Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Birta is one of the founding members of Iceland SIF, an investment forum established to promote awareness and debate about the methods of sustainable and responsible investment. Furthermore, one of Birta's employees sits in the board of the organization.

- ☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- ☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- ☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- ☒ Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

- ☐ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- ☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- ☐ Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- ☐ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- ☐ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- ☐ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- ☐ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- ☐ Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- ☐ Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- ☐ A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- ☒ On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)

Description

Founding members of Iceland SIF

Frequency of contribution

- ☐ Quarterly or more frequently
- ☐ Biannually
- ☐ Annually
- ☐ Less frequently than annually
- ☒ Ad hoc
- ☐ Other
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- ☐ Yes, we use investment consultants
- ☒ No, we do not use investment consultants.

SG 12.5	Indicate whether your organisation considers any of the following responsible investment factors in the monitoring of fiduciary managers
----------------	--

- ☐ Including responsible investment as a standard agenda item at performance review meetings
- ☒ Discussing whether the fiduciary manager has acted in accordance with your organisation's overall investment beliefs/ strategy/ policy on responsible investment and ESG factors
- ☐ Reviewing the fiduciary manager's PRI Transparency or Assessment reports
- ☐ Reviewing the fiduciary manager's responsible investment reporting (excluding PRI generated reports)
- ☐ Reviewing ESG characteristics/factors used by the fiduciary manager in portfolio construction
- ☐ Reviewing the fiduciary manager's incorporation approaches of ESG through-out asset classes
- ☐ Reviewing the impact of ESG factors on financial performance
- ☐ Encouraging your fiduciary managers to consider joining responsible investment initiatives/organisations or participate in educational or collaborative projects with other investors
- ☐ Including responsible investment criteria as a formal component of overall manager performance evaluation
- ☐ Reviewing the fiduciary manager's ESG incorporation in external managers' selection, appointment, monitoring
- ☐ Reviewing how ESG materiality is defined by the fiduciary manager
- ☐ Other general aspects of your monitoring; specify
- ☐ We do not consider responsible investment in the monitoring processes for fiduciary managers.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------

SG 13.1	Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).
----------------	--

- ☐ Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors
- ☐ Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- ☒ No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.3	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]
----------------	------------------------------------

Birta does carry out scenario analysis and modelling, but not only for ESG issues, but for the portfolio as a whole, regarding investment return forecasts and volatility.

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules

SG 16	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

SG 16.1	Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.
----------------	--

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Fixed income - Corporate (financial)	The investments Birta makes that fall under "Fixed Income Corporate (financial)" consist almost entirely in covered bonds issued by Icelandic banks. Those three entities are all ESG focused, and thus Birta hasn't had to engage in any way, but if the banks would begin to engage in practices that the fund deems not in line with ESG factors, Birta would most likely raise its concerns.
Cash	The investments Birta makes that fall under "Cash" consist entirely in deposits with the Icelandic banks. Those three entities are all ESG focused, and thus Birta hasn't had to engage in any way, but if the banks would begin to engage in practices that the fund deems not in line with ESG factors, Birta would most likely raise its concerns.

Communication

SG 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2, 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	----------

SG 19.1	Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.
---------	---

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

Do you disclose?

- ☒ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- ☐ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- ☐ We disclose to the public

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- ☒ We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- ☐ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- ☐ We disclose it publicly

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- ☒ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- ☐ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- ☐ We disclose to the public

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- ☐ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- ☐ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- ☒ We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Disclosure to public and URL

Disclosure to public and URL

- ☒ Disclose all voting decisions
- ☐ Disclose some voting decisions
- ☐ Only disclose abstentions and votes against management

Frequency

- ☐ Quarterly or more frequently
- ☐ Biannually
- ☒ Annually
- ☐ Less frequently than annually
- ☐ Ad hoc/when requested

URL

<https://www.birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-og-stefnumotun/>

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- ☒ We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- ☐ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- ☐ We disclose to the public

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Listed Equity and Fixed Income Strategies

SAM 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

SAM 01.1 Indicate which of the following ESG incorporation strategies you require your external manager(s) to implement on your behalf for all your listed equity and/or fixed income assets:

Active investment strategies

Active investment strategies	Listed Equity	FI - SSA			
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Passive investment strategies

Passive investment strategies	Listed Equity				
Screening	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Thematic	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Integration	<input type="checkbox"/>				
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Selection

SAM 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

SAM 02.1 Indicate what RI-related information your organisation typically covers in the majority of selection documentation for your external managers

	LE	FI - SSA				Private equity		
Your organisation's investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
ESG incorporation requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
ESG reporting requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
No RI information covered in the selection documentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		

SAM 02.2

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy

	LE	FI - SSA				Private equity		
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Assess the ESG definitions to be used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		

ESG people/oversight

	LE	FI - SSA				Private equity		
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Review the manager's RI-promotion efforts and engagement with the industry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation

	LE	FI - SSA				Private equity		
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, real-world impact)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review and agree ESG risk limits at the portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other, specify	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

SAM 02.3

Indicate the selection process and its ESG/RI components

- ☐ Review ESG/RI responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.
- ☐ Review responses to PRI's Limited Partners' Responsible Investment Due Diligence Questionnaire (LP DDQ)
- ☒ Review publicly available information on ESG/RI
- ☐ Review assurance process on ESG/RI data and processes
- ☐ Review PRI Transparency Reports
- ☐ Request and discuss PRI Assessment Reports
- ☐ Meetings with the potential shortlisted managers covering ESG/RI themes
- ☒ Site visits to potential managers offices
- ☐ Other, specify

SAM 02.4

When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE	FI - SSA				Private equity		
ESG performance development targets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
ESG score	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
ESG weight	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Real world economy targets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
Other RI considerations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		
None of the above	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Outputs and outcomes

SAM 09	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,6
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

SAM 09.1

Provide examples of how ESG issues have been addressed in the manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring process for your organisation during the reporting year.

- ☐ Add Example 1
- ☐ Add Example 2
- ☐ Add Example 3
- ☐ Add Example 4
- ☐ Add Example 5
- ☐ Add Example 6
- ☐ Add Example 7
- ☒ We are not able to provide examples

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes

LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEI 01.1	Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.
-----------------	---

ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- ☒ Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	<table> <tr> <td></td><td>%</td></tr> <tr> <td>100</td><td></td></tr> </table>		%	100	
	%				
100					

- ☐ Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- ☐ Screening and integration strategies
- ☐ Thematic and integration strategies
- ☐ Screening and thematic strategies
- ☐ All three strategies combined
- ☐ We do not apply incorporation strategies

	Total actively managed listed equities
--	--

100%

LEI 01.2	Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.
-----------------	---

Lack of resources is the main reason for the fund's inability to implement many strategies simultaneously.

(A) Implementation: Screening

LEI 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEI 04.1	Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.
-----------------	--

Type of screening

☒ Negative/exclusionary screening

Screened by

- ☐ Product
- ☐ Activity
- ☐ Sector
- ☐ Country/geographic region
- ☒ Environmental and social practices and performance
- ☒ Corporate governance

	Description
--	-------------

The internally managed active listed equities consists of domestic listed equities. The fund is fairly well connected and in tune with the Icelandic market, so we are constantly on the lookout for potential ESG violations and react to them accordingly, on an ad-hoc basis.

- ☐ Positive/best-in-class screening
- ☐ Norms-based screening

LEI 04.2	Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.
-----------------	--

na.

LEI 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEI 05.1	Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.
-----------------	--

- ☐ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- ☐ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- ☐ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- ☐ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- ☐ Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.
- ☐ A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- ☐ A periodic review of internal research is carried out.
- ☐ Review and evaluation of external research providers.
- ☐ Other; specify
- ☒ None of the above

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

☒ Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

☐ Attachment provided:

☒ URL provided:

URL

<https://www.birta.is/um-sjodinn/stjorn-og-stefnumotun/#eigendastefna>

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- ☒ Conflicts of interest
- ☐ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- ☒ Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- ☐ Expectations and objectives
- ☐ Engagement approach
- ☐ (Proxy) voting approach
- ☒ Other

Specify

The fund's take on remuneration policies

☐ None of the above

☐ No

LEA 01.4

Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Engagement

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,2,3
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-----------

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 03.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1 Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration

LEA 05	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

LEA 05.1	Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.
----------	---

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.

LEA 05.2	Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.
----------	--

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify

LEA 06	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

LEA 06.1	Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.
----------	--

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

LEA 07	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

LEA 07.1	Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.
----------	---

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

LEA 07.2	Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.
----------	--

- ☐ Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
☐ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
☐ Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
☐ Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
☐ Other; specify
☐ None

LEA 07.3	Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.
----------	---

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

LEA 08	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEA 08.1	Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.
----------	--

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual/Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

LEA 12	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEA 12.1	Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.
----------	--

	Approach
--	----------

- ☒ We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

	Based on
--	----------

- ☒ Our own voting policy
☐ Our clients' requests or policies
☐ Other (explain)
- ☐ We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
☐ We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
☐ We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

LEA 15.1	Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.
-----------------	--

- ☐ 100%
- ☐ 99-75%
- ☐ 74-50%
- ☒ 49-25%
- ☐ 24-1%
- ☐ Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 15.2	Indicate the reasons for raising your concerns with these companies ahead of voting.
-----------------	--

- ☐ Vote(s) concerned selected markets
- ☐ Vote(s) concerned selected sectors
- ☒ Vote(s) concerned certain ESG issues
- ☐ Vote(s) concerned companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- ☐ Vote(s) concerned significant shareholdings
- ☐ Client request
- ☐ Other

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 16.1	Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.
-----------------	---

- ☐ 100%
- ☐ 99-75%
- ☐ 74-50%
- ☒ 49-25%
- ☐ 24-1%
- ☐ We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- ☐ Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 16.2	Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.
-----------------	---

- ☐ Vote(s) concern selected markets
- ☐ Vote(s) concern selected sectors
- ☒ Vote(s) concern certain ESG issues
- ☐ Vote(s) concern companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- ☐ Vote(s) concern significant shareholdings
- ☐ Client request
- ☐ Other

LEA 16.3	In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.
-----------------	--

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

LEA 16.4	Additional information. [Optional]
-----------------	------------------------------------

Regarding LEA 16.3: Some issues call for our stand to be made public.

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 17.1	For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.
-----------------	---

- ☒ We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

96

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- ☒ Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
☐ Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
☐ Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
☐ We do not track or collect this information

LEA 17.2**Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings**

- ☐ Shares were blocked
- ☐ Notice, ballots or materials not received on time
- ☐ Missed deadline
- ☐ Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- ☐ Cost
- ☐ Conflicts of interest
- ☒ Holdings deemed too small
- ☐ Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- ☐ Client request
- ☒ Other (explain)

On occasions, a lack of time and/or resources causes the fund's management to deem it so that a flat out vote against a certain proposal would warrant more time to be spent on analyzing said proposal than the fund's manpower allows, so the fund instead decides to abstain from voting.

LEA 18**Voluntary****Public****Additional Assessed****PRI 2****LEA 18.1**

Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- ☒ Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	<div> <div></div> <div>%</div> </div> 95
Against (opposing) management recommendations	<div> <div></div> <div>%</div> </div> 1
Abstentions	<div> <div></div> <div>%</div> </div> 4

100%

- ☐ No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3	In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.
-----------------	---

LEA 19	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

LEA 19.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.
-----------------	--

☐ Yes

☒ No

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Fixed Income













PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
FI 01.1	Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.			

SSA	 Screening alone
	0
	 Thematic alone
	0
	 Integration alone
	0
	 Screening + integration strategies
	0
Corporate (non-financial)	 Thematic + integration strategies
	0
	 Screening + thematic strategies
	0
	 All three strategies combined
	0
	 No incorporation strategies applied
	100 100%
Corporate (non-financial)	 Screening alone
	100
	 Thematic alone
	0
Corporate (non-financial)	 Integration alone
	0
Corporate (non-financial)	 Screening + integration strategies
	0

	<div>Thematic + integration strategies</div> <div>0</div>
	<div>Screening + thematic strategies</div> <div>0</div>
	<div>All three strategies combined</div> <div>0</div>
	<div>No incorporation strategies applied</div> <div>0</div>
	100%

FI 01.2	Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.
----------------	---

Mainly screening due to lack for resources and domestic fixed income options.

FI 01.3	Additional information [Optional].
----------------	------------------------------------

Regarding SSA fixed income, we invest heavily in Icelandic Government bonds and don't exactly screen the Icelandic government on ESG issues, although we fully assume that the government behaves in ways that are in accordance with ESG standards, for example, the Icelandic government has selected a number of UN Sustainable goals on which they are going to emphasize on.

FI 03	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

FI 03.1	Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:
----------------	---

- ☐ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products and/or services
- ☐ Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- ☐ Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- ☐ Internal audits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.
- ☐ A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks and opportunities for each sector/country.
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☒ None of the above

FI 03.2	Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.
----------------	--

- ☐ ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ☐ ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms
- ☐ ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, 'tear sheets', or similar documents
- ☐ Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- ☐ Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- ☒ Other, specify

Should a member of the investment committee reach any findings, other members, along with the fund's management would be informed.

☐ None of the above

FI 03.3	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	---

Due to lack of resources, manpower and the fact that formal ESG issues are relatively new to the Icelandic investment environment, we do not do (nor are provided with) "systematic research" on issuers, but we fully assume that they incorporate ESG issues in their operations. Should we find out that that was not the case, we react accordingly on an ad-hoc basis.

(A) Implementation: Screening				
--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

FI 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

FI 04.1	Indicate the type of screening you conduct.
----------------	--

Select all that apply

			Corporate (non-financial)	
Negative/exclusionary screening		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Positive/best-in-class screening		<input type="checkbox"/>		
Norms-based screening		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

FI 04.2	Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income
----------------	---

Due to lack of resources, manpower and the fact that formal ESG issues are relatively new to the Icelandic investment environment, we do not do (nor are we provided with) "systematic research" on issuers, but we fully assume that they incorporate ESG issues in their operations. Should we find out that that was not the case, we react accordingly on an ad-hoc basis.

FI 06	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

FI 06.1	Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.
---------	---

Type of screening	Checks
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
Norms-based screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above

Birta lifeyrissjodurinn

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1	Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:
----------	---

- ☐ Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ☐ Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- ☐ Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- ☐ Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- ☐ Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
- ☒ Other, specify
Coworkers (fund managers and head of legal affairs)
- ☐ None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1	We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report
----------	---

- ☐ Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- ☐ Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- ☒ We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- ☐ None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1	We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:
----------	---

- ☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- ☐ We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ☐ ESG audit of holdings
- ☒ Other, specify
As mentioned before, Birta Pension Fund is a founding member of Iceland SIF.
- ☐ None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- ☐ Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- ☐ Selected data will be assured
- ☒ We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report